

**EXHIBIT F
TO THE DECLARATION OF
KAITLYN MURPHY**

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

3 BEFORE THE HONORABLE A. MARISA CHUN, JUDGE PRESIDING

4 DEPARTMENT 9

5 ---oo---

6 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
7 Plaintiff,) Court No. CRI-22009575
8 vs.)
9 **ARMANDO AGUILAR-SEVILLAS,**) **MOTION TO DETAIN**
10 Defendant.) Pages 1 - 21
11 _____)

12 **Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings**

13 Friday, September 9, 2022

14
15
16 **APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:**

17 For the People:

18 Brooke Jenkins - District Attorney
19 350 Rhode Island Street
20 North Building, Suite 400N
21 San Francisco, California 94103
22 BY: **ALLISON MACBETH**, Assistant District Attorney

23 For Defendant:

24 Manohar Raju, Public Defender
25 555 Seventh Street - Suite 205
26 San Francisco, California 94103
27 BY: **SUJUNG KIM**, Deputy Public Defender

28 Reported By: Jacqueline Chan, CSR No. 10276

1 **I N D E X**

2 **SESSIONS**

3 PROCEEDINGS

4 PAGE

5 Friday - September 9, 2022

6 MORNING SESSION

7 3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 SEPTEMBER 9, 2022

2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

3 ---oo---

4 (MORNING SESSION)

5 **CARLA CUEVAS,**

6 official court interpreter for the defendant, translated
7 from the English language into Spanish, and from Spanish
8 into English, as follows:

9 **THE COURT:** Let's call line 24, People versus Armando
10 Aguilar-Sevillas.

11 Appearances, please.

12 **MS. MACBETH:** Good morning, Your Honor. Allison
13 Macbeth for the People.

14 **MS. KIM:** Good morning, Your Honor. Sujung Kim, deputy
15 public defender, specially appearing for the attorney of
16 record, Jonathan Fellner, on behalf of Mr.
17 Aguilar-Sevillas.

18 **THE INTERPRETER:** The Spanish interpreter is Carla
19 Cuevas, Certification No. 301346, with an oath on file.

20 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

21 So good morning, Counsel.

22 And good morning, Mr. Aguilar-Sevillas.

23 So I've reviewed -- we're on today for a motion to
24 detain without bail and an ACM report. I did review the
25 papers and, you know, the Court's inclination is to deny
26 the motion to detain and to impose release conditions of
27 home detention, electronic monitor, ACM, 1035 search
28 conditions, and a stay away order.

1 In case it isn't clear, also that he be ordered to obey
2 all laws and not to possess or engage in any sales of
3 controlled substances, illegal narcotics.

4 So what I was saying was is that I'm happy to hear from
5 both sides. I have a few questions, but that's my
6 tentative thinking. And, you know, just for the record, I
7 will note since the People are probably not aware of this,
8 the Court received I believe yesterday or the day before an
9 application to have the public defender's office file a
10 brief that exceeded the local rules 15 page limit. The
11 Court denied that request and the public defender's office
12 renewed that request and so I granted it, but I granted it
13 with the understanding that this would be the only time
14 that I would grant such a request. I do think that a lot
15 of the material in the public defender's papers, you know,
16 could be more concise. And it's just very difficult given
17 that we have 50 matters a day to have lengthy briefs. So I
18 just wanted to make sure that everybody was on notice that,
19 you know, the Court really appreciates brevity in terms of
20 the briefs.

21 So why don't I start with Ms. Macbeth since it's the
22 People who are filing this motion to detain. And I
23 guess -- you know, let me ask you a few questions. But one
24 question that I had was -- I mean I personally don't think
25 that I need to decide whether Article 1 controls or Article
26 28 controls, but I would be interested in hearing from the
27 People as to your theory as to how the Court would hold Mr.
28 Aguilar-Sevillas without bail given the offense that is at

1 issue in this complaint.

2 I mean what is your theory under Section 28? And you
3 know what, let me just ask you just a few more questions
4 just so that -- because we have a lot of matters that we
5 need to get through.

6 And then I think the other question that I had was in
7 terms of -- if you could address the defense's statement
8 that the weight that was provided in the chart for the
9 fentanyl doesn't actually reflect pure fentanyl, that there
10 are cutting agents. There's obviously packaging material.
11 And assuming that the Court needs to find, you know, clear
12 and convincing evidence for findings, you know, if you
13 could address that as well, but those are my primary
14 questions.

15 **MS. MACBETH:** As to -- I believe the Court's first
16 question is essentially the viability of Article 1, Section
17 28. And this question has not been addressed or resolved
18 by the Court either in *Humphrey* or in the pending case in
19 the Court of Appeal cited by the defense. But because of
20 the history and the language and the presumption in favor
21 of the initiatives, it does -- the conclusion is that
22 Article 1, Section 28 is operative contrary to the defense
23 arguments.

24 I did review -- I was not aware of the seeking of the
25 order to file a brief in excess of 15 pages. I did review
26 the defense brief and I agree with the Court's conclusion
27 there that there are rules that need to be followed, but I
28 did review it. And I think that the argument that Article

1, Section 28 is inoperative in its entirety. It fails to
2 address or recognize the fundamental presumptions in favor
3 of the People's initiative power.

4 **THE COURT:** So -- and if I can just focus you a little
5 bit. So I mean my presumption given that the Supreme Court
6 has pointedly declined to resolve this issue which it
7 requested briefing on in the *in re Humphrey* case, as I know
8 that you know, Ms. Macbeth. My presumption is is the
9 Court's obligation is to reconcile and to harmonize both of
10 them.

11 **MS. MACBETH:** I agree.

12 **THE COURT:** And, in fact, certainly there are aspects
13 of Section 28 subdivision (f)(3) that this Court complies
14 with all the time in terms of victims rights, et cetera. I
15 guess my real question is, under Section 28(f)(3), where is
16 it that the People believe that the Court can detain
17 without bail a defendant who has been charged with an
18 offense that doesn't fall under one of the three classes of
19 felonies that are set forth in Section 12?

20 **MS. MACBETH:** Well, Article 1, Section 28, it is -- I
21 agree that it does not list out the factors of the three
22 different types of cases; however, it plainly states that a
23 person -- the Court can set, reduce or deny bail, and the
24 Court must consider public safety and the victims safety as
25 the primary considerations. That provides the Court the
26 guidance.

27 And using the Article 1, Section 12 and the facts of --
28 I think that the way that Article 1, Section 28 operates is

1 that the Court must find that there's sufficient evidence
2 for a hypothetical verdict of guilt. That there's
3 sufficient -- clear and convincing evidence of a
4 substantial likelihood that the defendant, if released,
5 would endanger victim or public safety. And three, and
6 this is from *Harris*, in re *Harris*, which is currently under
7 review in the California Supreme Court, that clear and
8 convincing evidence that no less restrictive alternative is
9 sufficient to protect public safety.

10 And so it is the same analysis that you have under
11 Article 1, Section 12, but Article 1, Section 28 gives the
12 Court the discretion to consider in those cases. We are
13 just applying it here to a case, a felony case. And
14 otherwise what that would do if the Court does not -- let
15 me step back.

16 The Court does have the obligation even though there is
17 not a decision on this, but the courts are obligated to
18 reconcile as the Court mentioned the two provisions, but
19 the Court must also give the authority to the People's
20 right and the power of the People to amend the
21 constitution, and that's what they did with Proposition 9.

22 **THE COURT:** Okay. So I guess -- and I think maybe I'm
23 not being clear enough. So if I reconcile the two
24 sections, then wouldn't I be applying Section 28 to the
25 three classes of felonies that are set forth under
26 Section 12 as being felonies for which one can detain
27 without bail a defendant? And I'm playing devil's advocate
28 here. I'm not necessarily saying that that's what the

1 Court believes, but I think that would be the defense's
2 argument.

3 So do you see in Section 28(f)(3) authority for the
4 Court to detain somebody without bail if they've committed
5 a felony that isn't a violent offense, or a sexual offense,
6 or one involving a threat and great bodily harm?

7 **MS. MACBETH:** Yes. What Section 28 did was expand that
8 authority of the Court to deny, but it didn't do it -- it's
9 not something that is so expansive. The Court must still
10 abide by those constitutional and due process requirements
11 where the Court must find substantial evidence of a
12 hypothetical verdict of guilt, and that comes from in re
13 white. That was Article 1, Section 12. And then -- but
14 that's how the Court can harmonize the two and give that
15 authority to Article 1, Section 28 without undermining the
16 broad reform that the People had sought in enacting
17 Proposition 20 or, excuse me, Proposition 9.

18 **THE COURT:** Okay. And then what about the question
19 about the purity of the fentanyl?

20 **MS. MACBETH:** Your Honor, as to that, we have -- what
21 we have here is a case where the defendant -- when the
22 officers searched him, it wasn't just a bulk testing of the
23 materials, it was 19 different baggies. And each of those
24 baggies had a presumptive positive test of fentanyl result,
25 and that's reflected in the pictures that were given to the
26 Court.

27 And to put that into perspective, you know, we all know
28 that there is an alarming -- an emergency here in San

1 Francisco where just in the last month alone, 81 percent of
2 overdose deaths in San Francisco were because of fentanyl.

3 And by being in the Tenderloin and possessing this
4 amount -- to put this into perspective, Your Honor, the
5 Court has the photographs. And here -- and this is one of
6 the photographs. And what I have in my hand is a piece
7 of -- it's a photograph and it look like different colors
8 and different bags and on it is a listing where it has
9 orange, blue, purple, and the abbreviation fentanyl.

10 Now, we don't dispute, and we recognize as we did in
11 our papers that the lethality of fentanyl does depend on
12 the person's body size, et cetera, their tolerance past
13 usage. But just as a demonstrative type of showing, what I
14 have here I've shown to counsel. This is a photograph of a
15 penny. This is from the DEA website. That much -- those
16 two milligrams are sufficient to be lethal.

17 **MS. KIM:** Your Honor, I object to counsel introducing
18 any exhibits or evidence that have not been previously
19 filed or --

20 **THE INTERPRETER:** I'm so sorry. The interpreter can't
21 hear.

22 **THE COURT:** Can you speak to the mike, please.

23 **MS. KIM:** Yes. I object to counsel introducing any
24 exhibits or evidence without first being sworn or being
25 subject to cross-examination as far as authentication, as
26 far as the credibility of the evidence being introduced.

27 **MS. MACBETH:** Your Honor, I would note that we did cite
28 to the DEA website. This is -- the People are entitled to

1 make a proffer of evidence. I did provide counsel. This
2 is simply to show the Court that this is just
3 two milligrams and comparing that to a common item like a
4 penny.

5 **THE COURT:** Okay. So I'll sustain the objection. I
6 think that -- I mean I think that it's not really necessary
7 for the Court to consider the exhibit, but certainly if you
8 want to file something supplemental and it's served on
9 defendant. I mean I just want both sides to follow the
10 rules. And I think speaking of rules, I mean if there are
11 going to be any other ex parte applications in the future,
12 please do serve your opposing counsel as soon as possible.
13 At least in the civil courts here we're required to serve
14 opposing counsel about ex parte applications.

15 **MS. MACBETH:** And, Your Honor, I did provide Ms. Kim
16 with a copy of the item I'm showing the Court. I gave it
17 to her this morning.

18 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

19 **MS. MACBETH:** But all of this basically underscores
20 comparing -- the 19 baggies underscores the tremendous risk
21 and the photographs that were presented to the Court.
22 There was literally a large Kleenex box filled with the
23 items. This is not an all fentanyl, but it underscores the
24 risk and the enormous public safety risk that the defendant
25 poses to the public. And what's more is the defendant
26 already has another open felony narcotics case. And, yes,
27 that is not fentanyl, but that also shows that the -- you
28 know, the less restrictive alternatives are not sufficient

1 to protect public safety. And on this, Your Honor, we'd
2 ask the Court to detain without bail because there is
3 sufficient evidence to support a hypothetical verdict of
4 guilt based -- and also because there's a clear and
5 convincing evidence that the defendant's conduct poses a
6 enduring threat to public safety, and that clear and
7 convincing evidence shows that there are no less
8 restrictive alternatives that will protect public safety.

9 **THE COURT:** And are you saying that there's a case that
10 sets forth these three conditions? See, I didn't see that
11 when I read 28(f)(3).

12 **MS. MACBETH:** Your Honor, as we noted, it's on page --
13 there is no case directly on point. But on page 12 of our
14 briefing we cite to Article 1, Section 12 on page 12.
15 Article 1, Section 12 -- Section 28, *White*, W-H-I-T-E,
16 that's 95 Cal. 5th, 455; *Humphrey*, 11 Cal. 5th at --

17 **THE COURT:** I think it's 135.

18 **MS. MACBETH:** 135. Thank you, Your Honor. And then
19 *Harris*, and that's another decision. That's common
20 spelling, H-A-R-R-I-S.

21 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you.

22 Ms. Kim, briefly, do you have any comments or further
23 argument that you want to make?

24 **MS. KIM:** Well, I think that counsel's not denying the
25 fact that Section 12 applies here. And the Court has to --
26 even harmonizing the two provisions, has to make findings
27 under Section 12 to detain without bail. And in this case,
28 as the Court says -- mentioned in the interim ruling, this

1 case does not qualify under the exceptions to Section 12.

2 **THE COURT:** Well, I think her argument is that it does
3 qualify under Article 1, Section 28. I don't think they're
4 maintaining that this offense needs to be a Section 12
5 offense. So they're saying that Section 28 gives this
6 Court authority to detain him without bail on a serious
7 felony offense that threatens the lives and health of so
8 many San Franciscans.

9 **MS. KIM:** But if the Court takes that position, the
10 Court is basically bypassing Section 12 and there is no
11 authority to do that. Section 12 is still the prevailing
12 provision that governs pretrial detentions. And I'm not
13 going to go into the details of why Proposition 9 did not
14 abrogate Section 12.

15 **THE COURT:** Yeah. And I don't think I need to decide.
16 Like I said, because my -- you know, my tentative is to
17 deny the motion and to have Mr. Aguilar be on home
18 detention, electronic monitor, and all the other conditions
19 that I noted, and part of that is because -- I -- I am
20 very, very, very concerned about this defendant's conduct.
21 He was here before me in May and it turns out that the
22 reason why he didn't have a criminal record at that time is
23 because he had come to this country in April, and only
24 three months -- two or three months after this court, you
25 know, released him on certain conditions including a stay
26 away order which he did violate. You know, he's back with
27 hundreds and hundreds of grams of fentanyl, suspected
28 fentanyl.

1 The stay away order required that he stay away from --
2 I think it was Eddy and Polk Street or Olive and Polk
3 Street, and he was found on Eddy and Polk Street. That is
4 within the 150 parameter. So he has obviously demonstrated
5 an inability to comply with this Court's orders.

6 And the only reason why I'm not granting the motion to
7 detain without bail is I've given him one opportunity in
8 May. And I see an obligation to try a less restrictive set
9 of conditions to see if he will stop engaging in drug
10 sales, but I mean he needs to understand, and part of my
11 concern is that, unfortunately, we have had a situation
12 where serious narcotics, felony drug cases, have been
13 disposed of with very little consequences due to -- due to
14 reasons the Court, you know, is not fully understanding.

15 And I think that it's very important that Mr.

16 Aguilar-Sevillas understand that the People are seeking to
17 detain defendants without bail for this type of narcotics
18 trafficking, which is more consistent with what other
19 jurisdictions in this country often does. And, if
20 anything, I think that the People's brief did not capture
21 the full lethality and danger of fentanyl to the public.

22 Unfortunately, in our dependency court, we see cases
23 all the time where parents lose their rights, parental
24 rights, to their children because they are incapable of
25 caring for them because of fentanyl addiction. We have
26 cases where children are saving their parents' lives
27 because the parent has inadvertently overdosed on fentanyl.
28 The damage that the fentanyl crisis and what these

1 defendants are doing really cannot be, you know, fully
2 captured I think, you know, in these papers. And I'm just
3 not -- so I just want to give him one more opportunity, but
4 I want him to be aware that this Court, you know, certainly
5 reserves the right to detain him without bail if he comes
6 back in two or three months again. I think -- you know, I
7 just don't understand why somebody would violate this
8 Court's orders so quickly and in so egregious a manner
9 after this Court had granted him the opportunity to be
10 released.

11 **MS. KIM:** So I'll just make two quick points then --

12 **THE COURT:** Sure.

13 **MS. KIM:** -- given the Court's remarks. I don't
14 believe that People have met their burden to prove by clear
15 and convincing evidence that releasing him -- there will be
16 a substantial likelihood that releasing him would lead to
17 great bodily injury. I also think that, as the Court said,
18 we haven't tried less restrictive alternatives. The Court
19 did release him on a stay away order, but as the Court
20 mentioned, there are other alternatives that haven't been
21 tried that I think that would ensure that he -- the
22 protection of public safety and that he comes back to court
23 and so --

24 **THE COURT:** And it's not coming back to court that I'm
25 most concerned about.

26 **MS. KIM:** Right, public safety.

27 **THE COURT:** It's public safety. So the great bodily
28 injury, you know, I actually think that the People can, in

1 fact, establish that. And it's really the public and
2 victim safety that I'm concerned about so...

3 **MS. KIM:** I think I'm -- I think the lethality argument
4 is speculative at best. And I'm not downplaying the
5 dangers of fentanyl to the public at all, but the way that
6 the police determine the presence of fentanyl is they just
7 use a screening test, which means that fentanyl is present
8 in the substance or the mixture, but there's no way to tell
9 the purity or the concentration of a certain mixture. And
10 so --

11 **THE COURT:** I mean but, Ms. Kim, we're talking about
12 over 500 grams of fentanyl or suspected fentanyl. Even if
13 you assume that there's some percentage of cutting agents,
14 I don't think that there's any doubt that this is an amount
15 that is shocking to the conscience and very lethal and very
16 deadly to many San Franciscans.

17 I understand and I agree with your argument that this
18 is not -- it's not going to be pure fentanyl in terms of
19 the 500 and some odd grams that were located on --

20 **MS. KIM:** Yes. And to assume that this --

21 **THE COURT:** -- the defendant.

22 **MS. KIM:** -- is going to kill people, and the
23 prosecution, you know, stated it's going to kill over
24 230,000 people, I think that kind of claim is outrageous
25 and not supported, and it's really irresponsible, actually,
26 to make such an inflammatory statement.

27 **THE COURT:** Well, maybe they need to do a better job of
28 linking the deaths to this particular person. One thing I

1 noticed was the three individuals who Mr. Aguilar-Sevillas
2 allegedly sold to were not arrested, and that sort of
3 information would be helpful because, obviously, if you
4 show a causation link in terms if those individuals die or
5 overdose, that would obviously be helpful and I think would
6 address Ms. Kim's argument.

7 Anything else?

8 **MS. KIM:** No, Your Honor.

9 **THE COURT:** Submitted?

10 **MS. MACBETH:** Yes, Your Honor.

11 **THE COURT:** Submitted?

12 **MS. KIM:** Submitted.

13 **THE COURT:** Okay. So the Court is adopting its
14 tentative. I'm denying the People's motion to detain. I
15 don't believe it is necessary for the Court to decide
16 whether Section 12 of Article 1 of the California
17 constitution or Section 28(f)(3) of the California
18 constitution operate here with regards to pretrial release
19 conditions. The Court sees its obligation as to harmonize
20 the two at this time given the lack of guidance from the
21 Supreme Court.

22 The Court finds that it is possible that less
23 restrictive conditions might protect public or victim
24 safety. I reached such a conclusion with some hesitation
25 in light of the fact that this defendant was before this
26 Court on a multi substance abuse -- or, I'm sorry, a multi
27 narcotics felony drug trafficking case just two or three
28 months ago; however, I am willing to give Mr.

1 Aguilar-Sevillas one last opportunity to avoid being
2 detained. And so I will order that he be released on home
3 detention, electronic monitor, ACM, 1035 search condition.

4 Mr. Aguilar-Sevillas, a 1035 search condition means
5 that you must subject your person, your residence, your
6 vehicle, and any other property or area under your control
7 to a search by any peace, police, or probation officer, at
8 any time of the day or night, with or without your consent,
9 with or without a warrant, and with or without reasonable
10 suspicion or probable cause. That is to enforce the stay
11 away order as well as the Court's order that you obey all
12 laws.

13 In case there's any ambiguity, that means you cannot
14 possess or sell illegal narcotics.

15 Are there any services that might be helpful to the
16 defendant, Ms. Kim?

17 **MS. KIM:** Yes.

18 **THE COURT:** For ACM?

19 **MS. KIM:** Yes. He needs help finding employment.

20 **THE COURT:** Okay. I will make a note on that.

21 **MS. MACBETH:** Your Honor, I filled out a stay away
22 order for the Court. I'd also ask that the Court admonish
23 the defendant to not possess any narcotics or controlled
24 substances without a lawful prescription and that he must
25 obey all laws.

26 **THE COURT:** And I believe I did say that but I'll
27 repeat it.

28 **MS. MACBETH:** Okay.

1 **THE COURT:** You must obey all laws.

2 You must not possess any narcotics or controlled
3 substances. No fentanyl. No heroin. No cocaine. You
4 cannot possess any drugs unless you have a prescription for
5 those drugs.

6 And you must comply with the stay away order.

7 Oh, I need to sign it.

8 **MS. KIM:** May I just take a look at it?

9 **THE COURT:** Oh, sure.

10 **MS. KIM:** Thank you.

11 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

12 And you must comply with the stay away order. You must
13 stay at least 150 yards away from 750 Eddy Street in San
14 Francisco, California. Please do comply with this order.
15 That's about -- I would say about two city blocks from --
16 on each way from this location. If you violate this order,
17 it can lead to new criminal charges.

18 It's really important that you comply with this order
19 because you violated the prior stay away order that I
20 issued to you in May when you were here last.

21 Can we get this served, please.

22 And Mr. Aguilar-Sevillas --

23 **THE INTERPRETER:** Your Honor, could you please repeat
24 the address for the interpreter?

25 **THE COURT:** It's 750 Eddy Street, San Francisco.

26 And please be aware that the People are seeking to
27 detain you without bail. And if you violate any of this
28 Court's orders or engage in possessing drugs or selling

1 drugs, the Court may grant that motion on the next time.

2 So please do comply with the Court's orders.

3 And I would really encourage the People and the public
4 defender's office to try to work to help prevent some of
5 these drug cases.

6 And if the People are going to be filing more and more
7 of these motions to detain for these felony drug cases, you
8 may want to let the lawyers of the defendants who have drug
9 cases pending know because, you know, this is something
10 which is new. And you may want to let people in the
11 Tenderloin who are engaging in drug dealing activities know
12 as well through their counsel. But I just think that --
13 I'm hoping that you all can work on trying to prevent the
14 problem in addition to trying to prosecute these incidents
15 when they happen.

16 **MS. MACBETH:** Thank you, Your Honor.

17 Your Honor, I'm providing a copy -- two copies to
18 defense counsel, one for counsel and one for the client,
19 and that has been accepted by Ms. Kim.

20 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

21 **MS. MACBETH:** Your Honor, I don't know what the future
22 dates are.

23 **THE COURT:** So for Mr. Aguilar-Sevillas, he has a
24 preliminary hearing on September 13th at 9:00 a.m. in
25 Department 9. I'm confirming that.

26 And defendant is ordered present.

27 **MS. MACBETH:** Thank you, Your Honor.

28 **THE COURT:** So we're off the record.

1 (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

---oo---

1 State of California)
2 County of San Francisco)
3
4

5 I, Jacqueline K. Chan, Official Reporter for the
6 Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, do
7 hereby certify:

8 That I was present at the time of the above
9 proceedings;

10 That I took down in machine shorthand notes all
11 proceedings had and testimony given;

12 That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand notes with
13 the aid of a computer;

14 That the above and foregoing is a full, true, and
15 correct transcription of said shorthand notes, and a full,
16 true and correct transcript of all proceedings had and
17 testimony taken;

18 That I am not a party to the action or related to a
19 party or counsel;

20 That I have no financial or other interest in the
21 outcome of the action.

22
23
24 Dated: September 30, 2022

25
26 

27

28 JACQUELINE K. CHAN, CSR No. 10276